

39260/PAG/C766

MPATIALLY MODULATED INTERFEROMETER. AND BEAM SHEARING DEVICE TREFEFOR

CHOSS-PFFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is based on provisional patent application serial number 60/129,383 filed April 13, 1999.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SEONS(RED FESEARCH OF DEVELOPMENT

10 The U.S. Government has certain rights in this invention pursuant to NAS7-1407 awarded by NASA.

BACKGROUND OF INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to beam shearing systems and their applications, particularly in spatially modulated or static interferometers, which have low volume, low mass, high spectral resolution, a single instrument line shape function and are field widehed.

Interferometers are a class of instruments that convert light from a source into an interference fringe pattern or interferogram. Interferometers make measurements on light within a dertain portion of the spectrum. This portion is referred to as the predetermined spectral passband of the interferometer. Frequencies of light outside of the predetermined spectral passband are

10

15

attenuated at that they do not cause inaccuracies in measurements made by the interferometer.

Spatially modulated or static interferemeters use a beam shearing system to shear the input beam into two separate beams and a Fourier optical system can be used to recombine the two sheared beams at a detector array or photographic plate. This procedure generates an optical path difference across the wavefronts of the recombined beams, which results in the formation of a modulation pattern that is fixed in space. If a two dimensional detector array is used, then it can record the spatially modulated pattern as an interferogram in one dimension of the detector array and an image in the orthogonal direction.

Static interferemeters are distinguished from other types of interferemeters in that they do not require the movement of an optical component or the observational platform to generate an interferogram. Interferometers that require the movement of the observing platform or an optical element to generate their spectrum over a given time interval are prone to unrecoverable spectral errors.

The first reported static interferometer was a Michelson interferometer with tilted mirrors built by G. Stroke and A. Funkhouser (see G. W. Stroke et al., Physics Letters, 1965, Volume 16, Number 3, Pg. 272). Two decades later, T. Okamoto et al used a triangular Sagnac interferometer and a conventional camera lens to generate an interferogram (see T. Okamoto et al., Applied Optics,

10

15

20

25

1934, Volume 23, Number 2, Fg. 169). In all reported cases, traditional interferometer configurations and conventional Fourier Lenses have been used to generate the interferograms, and the detector arrays have operated in the ultra-violet to near infrared wavelength regimes.

The ability to operate a static interferometer at longer wavelengths than those used by existing static interferometers would offer certain advantages. For instance, operation in the thermal-infrared spectrum would enable fower pixels to be used to sample the fringes of an interferogram because the frequency of fringes decreases with increased wavelength. Another advantage of operating in the thermal-infrared spectrum is that the surfaces of the optical components would not be required to meet the stringent surface quality and accuracy requirements of shorter wavelengths interferemeters in order to prevent the generation of surface induced fringes that introduce errors by canceling the interferogram fringes. Thus, if a static interferometer were constructed that could operate in the thermal-infrared, then its optical components would be less costly and less time consuming to manufacture.

the Michelson and Sagnac interferometers, utilize beam shearing systems that waste at least 50% of the signal. These configurations typically require the beam of light input into the system to make two passes through a beam splitter during the shearing process. Light is therefore reflected back out the entrance through which it entered,

resulting in the loss of at least one half of the light entering the static interferometer.

An alternative method that does not rely on the use of a beam splitter to generate a difference in optical path length was described by Padgett et al. U.S. Fatent No. 5,731,293. This method involves polarizing the input beam and then shearing it using birefringent crystals. Despite elimination of the beam splitter, at least 50% of the light entering this type of system is lost due to absorption by the input polariser.

A particular advantage of the Padgett et al static interferemeter over other conventional interferemeters is that it is field widened. Being field widened means that the slit can be increased to any reasonable width without influencing the spectral resolution. An interferemeter will be field widened when it records the interferegram at a pupil plane. At a pupil plane, diffraction does not degrade spectral resolution.

A disadvantage of existing static interferometers is that their physical volume and mass increase significantly when high spectral resolution is required. This greatly increases cost in applications such as remote sensing devices mounted on satellites or space exploration vehicles.

25 Another disadvantage is that emisting static interferometers do not have a single instrument line shape. The instrument line shape is the characteristic shape of the spectrum generated by the static interferometer when

39260, FAG, C766

the instrument observes a particular frequency of radiation that is substantially narrower in bandwinth than the spectral resolution of the instrument. In existing static interferometers the line shape changes depending on the frequency of the radiation observed. These instruments must be calibrated for the line shape of each frequency in the instrument's bandwidth, which is a time consuming process. Data collected by these static interferometers difficult to analyze and they are not suitable for generating high spectral resolution output in real time. It is desirable to use a static interferometer that possesses a single instrument line shape and which has near perfect spectral registration. This means that the detector array's output has a single line shape and the lines for the different frequencies are evenly spaced along the spectrum at equal wavenumber intervals. This simplifies the time required to calibrate the instrument and the time required to analyze the data recorded by the instrument.

Accordingly, it is desirable to develop a new static interferemeter that is compact, makes use of the majority of incoming radiation, is field widened, can operate in the thermal-infrared region of the spectrum, has a single instrument line snape and has near perfect spectral registration.

25

10

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

In one aspect, the static interferometer of the present invention is capable of providing an instantaneous

single-sided interferogram in a tangential exit pupil plane and an image in a sagiital image plane, both of which are located at the same point along the optical axis. instrument can have an optical efficiency approaching 100 percent, has a high signal-to-noise ratio and is field widened. Fecause the interferegram is generated at a pupil plane by two perfectly collimated beams, the interferogram is not affected by diffraction. This characteristic enables the instrument to possess spectral radiometric parity, have a very proad spectral bandwidth and have the ability to operate within the thermal-infrared spectrum. In addition, this characteristic enables it to have a single instrument line shape and near-perfect spectral registration. Finally, the instrument is a compact and lightweight unit that is easy to align during construction 15 and simple to calibrate.

In one particularly advantageous embodiment, the foreoptics collect light and focus it onto an entrance slit.
The light passes through the entrance slit and into the
heam shearing system, which splits it into two separate
heams. The heam shearing system is constructed to ensure
that the two beams of light emerging from it contain more
than 50 percent of the collected light that is within the
predetermined spectral passband of the instrument. The
omerging beams are incident on a Fourier optical system,
which collimates and recombines them onto the exit pupil
plane. The recombined beams of light generate an
interferogram on a detector line array located at a
tangential exit pupil plane, enabling the intensity of the

20

cleatronics and then digitized by an analogue to digital converter. The data processing system then manipulates the digital data to extract useful information concerning the spectral composition of the collected light. When foreoptics with a shifted pupil are used, measurements can be made using a single sided interferogram at the tangential exit plane. When a Fourier optical system is used that also focuses the light onto a sagiltal image plane located at the same point on the optical axis as the tangential exit pupil plane, then a two-dimensional detector array can then be used to record the intensities of both the image and the interferogram.

The forgoing results are preferably achieved by static interferometers having: fore-optics for collecting light and focusing it into a beam; a spectral resolving system comprising of a beam shearing system to split the heam of light having a photon flux within a predetermined spectral passband, an optical system for recombining the two split beams onto an exit pupil, and a detector located at the exit pupil. The beam shearing system preferably includes: an entrance slit structure having an entrance slit extending in a first direction for receiving the light collected by the fore-optics; a beam splitter aligned at an angle to the first direction so that the received beam of light is split into two separate beams; a reflective subsystem having a plurality of reflective surfaces dufining separate light paths of equal optical path length for the two separate beams, the reflective surfaces

25

arranged such that the two beams contain more than 50 percent of the photon flux that is within the prodetermined spectral passband of the collected light. In this embodiment, the chief rays of the two separate beams are also substantially garallel to each other and the two light paths are of substantially equal optical path length.

In one form, the reflective surfaces are also arranged to ensure that the two beams remain substantially in phase relative to one another. In another form, a fore-optios may shifted pupil design to generate single-sided interferograms at the exit pupil plane. In yet another form, the optical system has an optical axis and also recombines the beams that emerge from the beam shearing system to create a sagiital image plane located at the same point along that optical axis as the tangential exit pupil plane. In yet another form again, the interferometer contains a detector array, read out electronics and data pricessing system. The detector array records the intensity of the radiation incident on its pixels, the read out electronics digitizes these measurements and transfers them to the data processing system, and the data processing system manipulates the digitized measurements to obtain information about the spectrum of the incident radiation. In a still further form, the data processing system performs Fast Fourier Transforms (PFTs) in the digitized data to obtain the spectrum of the collected light. In a still further form again, the data processing system convolves the digitized data with digital filters to detect the presence or absence in the spectrum of the collected

15

light of frequencies characteristically emitted or absorbed by particular chemicals.

DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

The above and other features of the present invention may be more fully understood from the following detailed description, taken together with the accompanying drawings, wherein similar reference characters refer to similar elements throughout and in which:

10 FIGURE 1 is a simplified block diagram of a static interferometer constructed in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIGURE 2 is an optical ray trace diagram of a static interferometer of the type illustrated in FIGURE 1, illustrating the Y-S plane;

FIGURE 3 is an optical ray trace diagram of a static interferemeter of the type illustrated in FIGURE 1, illustrating the K-Z plane;

FIGURE 4 is a three dimensional perspective view of a 20 beam shearing system of the type illustrated in FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 5 is an optical ray trace diagram of a beam shearing system of the type illustrated in FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 6 is an optical ray trace diagram of the rays reflected by the beam splitting surface of a first prism of the beam shearing system of FIGURE 5;

15

FIGURE 7 is an optical ray trace diagram of the path of the first split beam in the first and second prisms of the beam shearing system of FIGURE 5;

FIGURE 8 is an optical ray trace diagram of the path of the second split beam in the beam shearing system of FIGURE 5;

PIGURE SA illustrates the dimensions of the first prism of the beam shearing system of FIGURE 5;

FIGURE 8E illustrates the dimensions of the second and third prisms of the beam shearing structure of FIGURE 5;

FIGURE 9 is an optical ray trace diagram illustrating the path lengths of the two beams sheared by the beam shearing structure of the type illustrated in FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 10 is an optical ray trace diagram illustrating the path lengths of the chief rays of the two beams sheared by the beam shearing structure of the type illustrated in FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 11 is an optical ray trace diagram illustrating the path lengths of the marginal rays of the two beams sheared by the beam shearing structure of the type illustrated in FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 11A is an optical ray trace diagram of an alternative embodiment of the beam shearing system illustrated in FIGURE 1;

25 FIGURE 11B is an optical ray trace diagram of a second alternative embodiment of the beam shearing system illustrated in FIGURE 1;

FIGURE 12 is an optical ray trace diagram of fore optics of the type illustrated in FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 13 is an optical ray trace diagram of a Fourier optical system of the type illustrated in FIGURE2 (view of the X-Z plane);

FIGURE 14 is an optical ray trace diagram of a Fourier optical system of the type illustrated in FIGURE2 (view of the Y-Z plane);

FIGURE 15 is an optical ray trace diagram of a first alternative embodiment to the Fourier optical system illustrated in FIGURE 1 (view of the X-2 plane);

FIGURE 16 is an optical ray trace diagram of a variation on the first alternative embodiment to the Fourier optical system illustrated in FIGURE 1 (view of the Y-Z plane);

FIGURE 17 is an optical ray trace diagram of a second alternative embodiment to the Fourier optical system illustrated in FIGURE 1 (view of the M-Z plane);

FIGURE 18 is an optical ray trace diagram of a second alternative embodiment to the Fourier optical system illustrated in FIGUFE 1 (view of the Y-Z plane);

FIGURE 19 is a three dimensional perspective of a detector array of the type illustrated in FIGURE 1, ocvered by a blocking filter.

15

39260/RAG/C76€

20

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring now to the drawings, FIGURE 1 illustrates a static interferemeter 10 including fore-optics 12, a beam shearing system 14, a Fourier optical system 16, a detector array 18 and the read out electronics and data processing unit 20. As shown in FIGURES 2 and 3, input light 22 is focused by the fore-optics 12 into a beam 24, which passes through a slit 26 and into the beam shearing system 14. The beam shearing system 14 splits the beam into two separate beams, 30 and 32, that are widely spaced when they emerge. These beams 30,32 enter the Fourier optical system 16, which recompines them to create a pupil plane 34 and an image plane 36. The detector array 18 is located at the pupil plane 34 and at the image plane 36. The detector array 18 measures the intensity of the light incident on 15 different areas within the pupil plane 34 and the image plane 36. These intensity measurements are then recorded by the read cut electronics and data processing unit 20, which performs additional manipulations to extract useful information from the raw measurement data.

The static interferometer 10 of FIGURE 1 is preferably fabricated in the configuration shown in FIGURES 2 and 3, with telegentric fore-optics and a telegentric Fourier optical system. This configuration provides spectral radiometric purity, ensures a single instrument line shape, provides perfect spectral registration and ensures that the interferometer is field widened.

20

The performance of the static interferometer 1) is enhanced when the ream shearing system 14 takes the form of a prism structure 50 as illustrated in FIGURES 4 and 5. This configuration results in an extremely compact form of the static interferometer 10. The higher the refractive index of the material used to fabricate the prism structure 50, the more compact the prism's design. The prism structure \$0 also possesses characteristics that eliminate dispersion and astigmatism, maximize the efficiency of light utilization and ensure the wavefronts of the two beams emerging from the beam shearing system 30,32 are not out of phase with respect to each other.

The prism structure 50 is typically made of FBr to avoid the need for anti-reflection coatings, but it can also be made from CsI for very broad spectral bandwidth, from Ge to avoid chromatic defocus and to increase durability, from Ense for high durability. Ge and Ense require the use of anti-reflective coatings and the performance of CsI is considerably enhanced if antireflective coatings are used. In the illustrated embodiment, all prisms in the prism structure 50 are made from the same material to ensure that both the beams emerging from the beam shearing system 30,32 travel through optical paths of identical length.

25 Considering the prism structure 50 of FIGURE 4 in further detail, the first prism 52 consists of an entrance surface 54 and a beam splitting surface 56. A portion of the entrance surface 54 is covered in a reflective coating

39260/FAG/C766

10

58 and a portion of the beam splitter surface 54 is covered in a beam splitter coating 60. The second prism 62 and third prisms 66 each have a surface that is completely coated in a reflective coating 64 and 66. All other surfaces in the prism are optically transparent. The reflective ocatings of the prism structure 50 are typically made from Al, Au or Ag and the beam splitter coating 60 is usually made from Ge.

The material chosen to construct the prism structure illustrated in FIGUFE 4 effects the optical efficiency of the beam splitter. The variation in optical efficiency with the choice of material is demonstrated by TABLE 1A.

TABLE 1A. PRISM EFFICIENCIES AT 10 µm WAVELENGTH.

TABLE IA. THE			
Substrate/Coating	Ge - Al	Ge + A1 + Protective ²	Ge + Au + AR ³
KBr	80 %	85 %	95 %
Csl	72 %	80 %	95 %
ZnSe	-	-	91.%
Ge	-	-	90 %
			 1:++ on mlus

NOTE 1: "Ge + Al" implies a germanium beam splitter plus 15 aluminum coatings on all reflection surfaces. No coatings are placed on transmission surfaces.

NOTE 2: "Ge + Al + Erotective" implies a germanium beam splitter coating, aluminum reflection coatings, and protective coatings on all transmission surfaces. The protective coatings protect the water-soluble salt crystals from moisture.

39260/FAG/C766

10

NOTE 3: "Ge + Au + Ar" implies a germanium beam splitter coating, gold reflection coatings, and anti-reflection coatings on transmission surfaces. The gold coatings require an adhesive layer. No germanium beam splitter coating is required for the germanium substrate. The AP. coatings on the salt crystals are assumed to be narrowband, and the AR coatings on the metallic substrates are assumed to be broad-band.

A closer examination of FIGURE 5 demonstrates how the prism structure 50 shears the input beam 70 of FIGURE 5 into two separate beams 72 and 74. The input beam 70 enters the prism through the entrance surface 54 and is incident upon the beam splitter coating 60. This splits the beam and approximately 50% of the light is reflected in a first split beam 72 and the remainder of the light is transmitted in a second split beam 74. It is advantageous for the prism structure to be manufactured with the beam splitting surface 56 having a minimal tilt relative to the entrance sunface 54, shown as π on FIGURE 5. This improves the efficiency of the beam splitting ocating 60, reduces 20 polarization and prevents the first split beam 72 from being totally internally reflected at the air gap between the beam splitter surface 56 and the second prism 62 by the beam reflected off the reflective coating on the entrance surface to. 25

The first split beam 72 is reflected by the reflective coating on the entrance surface 58 and is then reflected again by the second prism's reflective surface 64. The

39260/PAG/0766

10

first split beam 72 then exits the prism structure 50 through the exit surface % F. The second split beam % 4continues until it strikes the third prism's reflective surface 68, where it is reflected and exits the prism 5 structure 50 through the exit surface 76. The surfaces of the prism structure are arranged so that the first split beam of light 72 and the second split beam of light 74 have traveled the same optical distance and are parallel when they exit the exit surface 7%. Overall, the prism structure 50 is extremely efficient in its utilization of light. The only way that light entering the system through the entrance surface 54 can exit the prism structure 50 is through the exit surface 16.

The prism structure 50 reflects the first split beam 72 three times and the second split beam 74 only once 15 ensure that the wavefronts of the two beams do not undergo a 190° phase change with respect to each other. If the two wavefronts are in-phase, then the image is preserved across the entrance slit 16, single sided interferograms can be generated without loss of signal, and the optical 20 aberrations generated by the Fourier optical system 14 tend to pancel out when the two beams 30,32 recombine at the detector array 18.

The shape of the prism structure 50, as shown in FIGURE 4, affords easy mounting and alignment. Proper 25 alignment of the prisms is important because it ensures that the modulation efficiency of the interferometer is maximized. The modulation efficiency is a measure of the 39260/EAG/0766

fringe visibility or contrast and directly affects the signal amplitude. When the chief rays emerging from the prism are not parallel to each other or the optical system is not telepentric, then the modulation efficiency, $\eta(\beta)$, decreases as a function of the angle, β , between the two chief rays:

$$\eta(\beta) = \frac{\sin(\pi v \Delta S \sin \beta)}{\pi v \Delta S \sin \beta}$$

where -

 ΔS is shown on FIGURE 5, -

10 and

20

 $\nu=1/\lambda$ is the wavenumber frequency for a given wavelength.

Therefore, a special mounting system (not shown) manufactured from aluminum is used that hard mounts the prisms 52,62,66 without the need for epoxies. The prisms are neld in aluminum caps that are held in place by springs. The springs held the prisms in alignment when the spectrometer temperature is reduced. The air gaps between the prisms are not sealed with adhesives because this introduces strong absorption features in the thermal infrared region of the spectrum.

A closer examination of FIGURES 5 through 11 reveals how the prism structure 50 is manufactured to ensure that it possesses the properties necessary for it to function

39260/FAG/C766

effectively as the beam shearing system 14 in the static interferometer 10. Three requirements apply to the construction of all of the three prisms 52,62,66. The first is that the prism structure is constructed so that the entrance surface 54 is substantially perpendicular to the chief ray of the input beam 78 and the exit surface 76 is substantially perpendicular to both chief rays of the exit beams 30 and 32. The purpose of constructing the prism structure 50 in this way is to eliminate dispersion and 10 astigmatism. Dispersion alters the instrument line shape as a function of wavenumber and astigmatism creates different wavefront errors in each of the two exit beams 30 and 32, broadening the instrument line shape. The following equation and explanation illustrates the effect of astigmatism on the instrument line shape. Let As represent the difference in wavefront errors between the two beams at the exit pupil. Wavefront errors are caused by optical aberrations. If the optical aberrations or wavefront errors of the two beams are identical and vary slowly across the wavefront, then there is no influence on the spectral 20 resolution or instrument line shape. Astigmatism would cause a difference in wavefront errors between the two beams. For a given $\Delta \epsilon$, the width of the instrument line shape at half maximum, $\Delta v_{
m c}$, will increase according to the following equation: 25

$$\Delta v_{1/2} = \frac{0.605}{\delta_{\text{max}}} + \frac{1}{v_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\frac{v\Delta \varepsilon}{2\cos\left(\frac{FOV_F}{2}\right)} \left[\frac{F}{\Delta S\cos\left(\frac{FOV_F}{2}\right)} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{4}}$$

where

is shown on FIGURE 5

F is the focal length of the Fourier Lens,

 $\delta_{ exttt{main}}$ is the maximum optical path difference,

is the distance between the center of the exit pupil,

ana

 $FOV_F = 2 \arctan(\Delta S / 2F)$ is the field of view of the Fourier Lens.

The second is that the breadth of each of the prisms 52,52,66, shown as bp on FIGURE 4, must be large enough so that all the light entering through the length of the slit is contained within the prism. In addition to these two requirements, there are manufacturing requirements that apply to each of the prisms 51,62,66 individually. The third is that the entrance surface must be perpendicular to the exit surface to minimize optical aberrations.

A closer examination of FIGURE 6 demonstrates that the first prism 52 is manufactured so that its shape ensures the following. Firstly, the portion of the entrance surface 54 that is not coated is large enough to ensure that none of the input beam 70 is incident upon the portion of the entrance surface that is coated in reflective coating 58. Secondly, the portion of the beam splitting surface that is coated in a reflective coating 60 is wide enough to ensure that none of the input beam 70 is incident on the portion

25

of the beam splitting surface 56 that is not coated in beam splitting coating. Thirdly, the width of the first prism $w_{\rm i},$ the height of the first prism, shown as h on FIGURE 6 and the tilt angle of the beam splitting surface relative to 5 the entrance surface, shown as π on FIGURE 6, ensure that the entire first split beam 72 is incident on the portion of the entrance surface 54 that is coated in reflective coating. Fourthly, the width of the first prism \mathbf{w}_{\perp} , the height of the first prism $h_1,$ the tilt angle π and the length of the beam splitting surface 56, shown as $l_{\rm 1}$ on 10 FIGURE 6, ensure that the entire beam 80 reflected from the portion of the entrance surface coated in reflective coating is incident on the portion of the beam splitting surface 56 that is not coated in beam splitting coating. Finally, the width of the first prism w_i, the height of the first prism h, the tilt angle $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and the length of the beam splitting surface l_1 ensure that the length of the optical paths taken by the first split beam 72 and the second split beam 74 throughout the entire prism structure 50 are equivalent. 20

A closer examination of FIGURES 7 and 8 reveals that the second prism 62 is manufactured so that its shape ensures the following. Firstly, that the surface of the second prism adjacent to the beam splitting surface of the first prism 56 is matched to that surface. Secondly, that the width of the second prism, shown as w_2 on FIGURE 7 and the angle of the second prism's reflective surface 64 relative to the entrance surface 54, shown as θ on FIGURE 7

39250/FAG/C766

ensure that the entire beam 80 reflected from the portion of the entrance surface coated in reflective coating is incident upon the second prism's reflective surface 64 and that the chief ray of the beam of light reflected from this 5 surface 32 is perpendicular to the second prism's exit surface 84. Thirdly, the width of the second prism w_2 , the angle of the second prism's reflective surface relative to the entrance surface θ and the height of the surface of the second prism that is adjacent to the third prism 86, shown as $h_{\rm d}$ on FIGURE 7, ensure that the entire beam reflected 10 from the second prism's reflective surface 63 emerges from the exit surface of the second prism 84 and that none of second split beam 74 is incident on the second prism's reflective surface 64. Fourthly, the angle between the surface of the second prism adjacent to the third prism :6 and the second prism's exit surface 84, shown as ϕ in FLGUEE 7, is as close to 30° as possible to prevent any total internal reflection that may result due to the tiny air gap between the two prisms. Finally, the width of the second prism w_2 , the angle of the second prism's reflective surface relative to the entrance surface $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and the height of the surface of the second prism adjacent to the third prism $h_{\rm 2}$ ensure that the length of the optical paths taken by the first split beam 72 and the second split beam 74 throughout the entire prism structure 50 are equivalent. 25

A closer examination of FIGURE 8 reveals that the third prism 66 is manufactured so that its shape ensures the following. Firstly, the surfaces of prisms two 62 and

39260/EAG/0766

three 66 that are adjacent to each other 86 and 90 are matched to eliminate dispersion and aberration. Secondly, that its height, shown as $h_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ on FIGURE 2, its width, shown as w_{P} or FIGURE 8 and the angle of its reflective surface 685 relative to the entrance surface 54, shown as lpha on FIGURE 8, ensure that the chief ray of the beam of light reflected from the third prism's reflective surface 92 is perpendicular to the third prism's exit surface 94 and that the entire beam of light reflected from the third prism's reflective surface 96 exits the third prism through the 10 third prism's exit surface 94. Finally, that the third prism's height h_1 , width w_3 and the angle of its reflective surface relative to the entrance surface α ensure that the length of the optical paths taken by the first split beam 72 and the second split beam 74 throughout the entire prism 15 structure 50 are equivalent. FIGURES 3A and 3B show the dimensions of a prism structure 50 manufactured to receive an F/4 input team from a slit with a length of 12.8mm. FIGURE 8A shows the dimensions of prism one 52 and FIGURE BB shows the dimensions of prisms two and three 62,68. An 20 optical prescription for these prisms is contained in TAPLES 18 - 10 for an optical system presented in TABLE 2. The description of the tables is presented in the form utilized by the optical design program marketed under the tradename ZEMAH. 25

TABLE 1B. Non-Sequential Components Parameters

TABLE 1B	. Non bee	Theire is a		Tilt X	Material	X 1/2 Width	Y 1/2 Width
Obj Type	Obj Name	Y Position	Z Position	THEA	.viateria.		Is Vol 1
n 1 Oli	Prism A				KBr	Scale 1	15 (01)
Poly Obj 	1 115111 71		5.851		KBr	Scale 1	Is Vol 1
Poly Obj	Prism B		3.031			Scale 1	ls Vol 1
Poly Obj	Prism C		21.851		KBr	Scale 1	
		4.15	-0.01	10	Mirror	16	5.85
Rectangle	A1 Mirror	4.13		15	mirror/KBr	16	4.64
Rectangle	A2 BmSp	-5.40	6.65	-45			14
	C2 Mirror		37.0		Mirror	16	14
Rectangle	C2 Mirror						

TABLE 1C. Prism A Vertex Parameters

 Front	Face Vert	ices		Back	Back Face Vertices					
 V 1	-16	-10	0	V 5	-16	-10	5.85			
		10	0	V 6	-16	10	9.38			
V 2	-16	10	0	V 7	16	10	9.38			
V 3	16	-10	0	V 8	16	-10	5.85			
V 4	16 eated Vert						·			
Fror		2 3 4 0		Back	Back R 56780					
Тор	24720				Bottom R 15840					
Left side R 12650					Right side R 43780					

TABLE 1D. Prism B Vertex Parameters

 Front	Face Ve	ertices		Back	Face Vert	ices			
 V 1	-16	-10	0	V 5	-16	-10	16		
	-16	10.00357	3.53	V 6	-16	1.27	16		
· V 3	16	10.00357	3.53	V 7	16	1.27	16		
 V 4	16	-10	0	V 8	16	-10	16		
Repe	eated Ve			Back	R	56780			
Front R 1 2 3 4 0 Top R 2 6 7 3 0					Bottom R 15840				
Top R 26/30 Left side R 12650				Righ	Right side R 43780				

TABLE 1E. Prism C Vertex Parameters

Front	Face Verti	ces		Back	Back Face Vertices					
 V 1	-16	-10	0	V 5	-16	-10	25.15			
V 2	-16	10		V 6	-16	10	5.15			
		10	0	V 7	16	10	5.15			
V 3	16	-10	0	V 8	16	-10	25.15			
	eated Vertic	ces								
Fron	t R 1	2 3 4 0		Back	Back R 56780					
Top R 26730				Botte	Bottom R 15840					
Left side R 1 2 6 5 0				Righ	it side R	4 3 7 8 0				

A requirement in the manufacture of all the prisms, is that they must ensure the length of the optical paths taken

39260/F.AG/0766

by the first split beam 72 and the second split beam 74 through the prism structure 50 are equivalent. This requirement means that each of the mays in the split beams of light 72,74 must traver the same distance in each of the 5 materials present in the prism structure 50 as the corresponding ray in the other split beam of light. A closer examination of FIGURES 9, 10 and 11 demonstrates that the prism structure 50 ensures that this occurs. In each of these figures the optical distance of the ray of the first split beam of light is the sum of the three 10 distances d_1 , d_2 and d_3 and the optical distance traveled by the corresponding ray of the second split beam of light is the sum of the two distances d_4 and $d_{\bar{1}}.$ In each of FIGURES 9, 10 and 11, $d_1+d_2+d_3=d_1+d_5.$ Minor variations in the winth of the air gaps between prisms one and two and prisms two and three are inconsequential. The optical path length for the prism structure shown in FIGURES 8A and 8B is $47\,\mathrm{mm}$ and the width of the air gaps is approximately 10 microns. This difference is unimportant because it does not have a significant effect on the marginal ray angles of the two 20 split beams 72,74, when they are incident on the Fourier optical system 16.

A variation on the embodiment of the beam shearing system 14' described above is shown in FIGURE 11A, this arrangement replaces the prism structure 50 with an structure involving parallel plates acting as a beam splitter 150 and three mirrors 152, 154 and 156. The basic arrangement of 3 reflections for the first split beam 72' and one reflection for the second split beam 74' is

39260/EAG/C766

10

20

25

maintained. Use of this beam shearing system 14' places a limitation on the F/# of the input beam and the possible size of the separation between the two emerging beams 30' and 32', shown as Δs on FIGURE 11A because the beams diverge more rapidly in air than in a higher index material.

A closer examination of FIGURE 11A reveals that the static interferometer must be manufactured in the following way. The entrance slit 26' must be mounted inside the first mirror 152 and the parallel plate beam splitter 150 oriented perpendicular to the chief ray of the entrance beam 158. The parallel plate beam splitter is coated on the second surface of the first parallel plate, and the second parallel plate is of equal thickness to the first plate to ensure that the total optical path length traveled ky both beams is equal.

The first mirror is tilted at an angle that ensures that the first split beam 72' is reflected from the beam splitter 150 to the second mirror 154. The slit must be small compared to the returning beam 72' to minimize the amount of light lost. The second and third mirrors are aligned so that the chief rays of the two emerging beams 30' and 32' are parallel. Overall, the mirrors must be arranged to ensure that both split beams of light 72',74' travel the same optical distance.

Another variation of the beam shearing system is shown as 14" in FIGURE 11B. This variation is designed for use with a collimated input beam. It uses a similar parallel

39260/PAG/C766

20

plate and mirror configuration as in FIGURE 11A except that the beam spritter 150' is tilted with respect to the input beam 70'. The beam splitter 150' splits the input beam into two beams 71' and 74'. These beams are then reflected in a similar fashion to the beam shearing system shown as 14' in FIGURE 11A. However, because the beams are collimated, the beam shearing system 14' is able to recombine the two split beams at an emit pupil plane without the need for the Fourier optical system. The beam shearing system places a limitation on the F/# of the fore-optics to ensure that the exit pupil is sufficiently far from the fore-optics to enable the configuration shown.

The best performance of the static interferometer 10 is achieved, when the fore-optics are as shown in FIGUEE 11. Manufacturing the fore-optics in this way ensures that the pupil of the fore-optics is shifted to one side of the optical axis. This results in the chief ray of the input beam 22 striking the exit pupil 34 at the edge of the detector array 18, enabling a single sided interferogram to be recorded. Using a single sided interferogram instead of a complete interferogram reduces the size of the other components of the static interferometer 10 by a factor of two, without losing any information or signal.

Examining the illustration in FIGURE 11 in greater detail, reveals that the fore-optics 12 comprise a scan mirror 160 and three ccaxial aspheric mirrors 162, 164 and 166. The first ocaxial aspheric mirror 161 is an even asphere and the other two mirrors are simple conics 164,

166. The input beam 22 is reflected by the scan mirror onto the first coaxial asphere 162, which reflects the light onto the second coaxial asphere 164. The light is finally reflected by the third coaxial asphere 166, which focuses the light onto the entrance slit 26. The focused beam 168 is telecentric and it is observed that its chief ray strikes one side of the aperture stop 170 of the second coaxial aspheric mirror because the pupil of the foreoptics is shifted. The four mirrors 160, 162, 164, 166 are co-axial, which means that the fore-optics are simple to construct.

A specific example of the mirror configuration of the fore-optics 12, illustrated in FIGURE 12, is provided in part of TABLE 2. The description of TABLE 2 is presented in the form utilized by the optical design program marketed under the tradename ZEMAX. The prescription in TABLE 2 assumes that the output light 24 is F/4 in the tangential y-plane and F/5 in the sagiital X-plane.

TABLE 2. ZEMAX PRESCRIPTION: Telescope and Prism in

1.2 cm⁻¹ Imaging Spectrometer.

		maging Name	Radius	Thickness	Conic	C	oeff on r 4	Coe	ff on r 6	Coeff on r 8
urface	Type	Name	Mm	mm						
			Infinity	infinity		-				
)bject			Illinity	130						
	apert	ent port					 6°	1		
!	coord	tilt Y		140						
3	mirror	Scan	Infinity	-140			6°			
1	coord	tilt Y					-8.326e-10	3 ()6657e-14	-2.45337e-18
5	mirror	TM1 asph		122.6101			-8.3206-10	- 1		
STOP	mirror	TM2 coni	c 176.0148	-122.610						
 7	mirror	TM3 coni	c 244.9272	215.1279	0.18	2058				
8	coord	Decenter	Y				31.2483 mm			
9	apert	Slit		3						
10	coord		Y				3.2 mm			it tilt V:
	NSC	Prism			Rev	erse	exit location		cit location Z	
11	NSC	1430			rays	s: 1	-10.001 mm	1	6.1112 mm	-90°
		rd Gap		-0.042						
12			. V				-12 mm			
13	coord		1	-134.46	8		-13.383°			
14	coor	+					13.927 mm			
15	coor			47 113.61	18		-9.0040886e	-9 -	2.775225e-1	3
16	mirr				40					
		FM1	148.57	+	70		5.3235734e-	6	-2.8462433e-	7 -8.10146e-10
17	mirr	or X toroic	1		19		3.320			
		FM2	99.773				-9.21877836	e-8	1.719915e-1	1 -2.72977e-1
18	mir	ror asphere	171.81	1 77.307	/9		7.2101762			
		FM3					7.7831648e	8	-1.816862e-	11
19	mir	ror Y toroi	l,		5079		7.76510460		=	
		FM4	-209 4	74			14.5020			
20	coc	ord tilt Y					14.582°			
Ima	oe -	focal p	lane infini	ty						

NOTE 1: Spectral resolution is $1.21~{\rm cm}^{-1}$. Pupil width is 505 mm, X-Field is \pm /- 1.888°, Y-Field is 9°, and wavelength is

39260/P.AG/0766

20

13 μm. Design is valid between 5 and 20 μm with MBr or 5 and 50 μm with CsI. Tangential width if stop is 30.6 mm; sagittal width is 24.6 mm. Stop is shifted along tangential plane away from slit so that chief ray is 6.2 mm tangential plane away from slit so that chief ray is 6.2 mm from short side and 13.8 mm from long side of stop. Letector is 12.8 x 10 mm.

MOTE 2: In Seman the E toroids are generated by placing coordinate breaks of 30° and -30° before and after a standard "Y" toroid. However, many optical design packages explicitly call out Y and X toroids to omit the two coordinate breaks. Therefore the coordinate breaks for the X toroids have been omitted in the above prescription. The X toroids have been omitted in the bottom number is the radius, and the bottom number is the radius of rotation.

NOTE 3: Decenters, tilts, and MSC parameters are listed in the columns with the headings "Conic", "Coeff. on r4", "Coeff. on r6", and "Coeff. on r8".

The best performance of the static interferometer 10 is achieved, when the two beams emerging from the beam shearing system 30,31 are recombined using the Fourier optical system 16 shown in FIGURES 18 and 14. The Fourier optical system 16 is manufactured to maximize the image focal length and spatial resolution of the Fourier optical system by ensuring that the imaging and collimating system by ensuring that the imaging and collimating functions are performed by the same optical components. It is also believen the tangential plane to ensure spectral radiometric punity.

Examining the illustration in FIGURES 13 and 14 in greater detail, it shows a form of the Fourier optical system 16 having four mirrors and which is completely anamorphic. The first and second mirrors 180,182 are

aspheric toroids that each have an aspherical surface in the X plane and a spherical surface in the Y plane. The third mirror 184 is an even asphere and the fourth mirror 186 is an aspheric toriod with an aspheric surface in the Y plane and a spherical surface in the X plane. All four mirrors are co-axial, with a vertices along the common axis 190. This property ensures that no alignment of the mirrors of the Fourier optical system is required. Their mounting (not shown) is a simple 'bolt and go' configuration.

The mirrors are manufactured to ensure that the two beams emerging from the beam shearing system 30,32 strike 10 the first mirror 180 and are reflected onto the second mirror 182, which is located inside a cut out of the fourth mirror 186. The mirrors also ensure that the two beams are then reflected onto the third mirror 184 and from there onto the fourth mirror 186. The combination of the four 15 mirrors ensures that the light reflected from the fourth mirror 186 forms two collimated exit beams 192 and 194 that exit the Fourier optical system through a out-out of the third mirror 184. The manufacture of the mirrors ensures that the two exit beams 192,194 combine and form a pupil plane 34 in the Y plane and an image plane 36 in the $\rm X$ plane, with noth planes located at the same point along the optical axis 190.

A specific example of the mirror configuration of the Fourier optical system 16, illustrated in FIGURE 13 and 14, is also provided in TABLE 4. The description of TABLE 3 is presented in the form utilized by the optical design

392.60/RAG/C7.66

15

program marketed under the tradename DEMAM. Manufacturing the Fourier optical system 16 according to the prescription in TABLE 4 results in it having focal length in the $\ensuremath{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Y}}$ plane of 64mm.

Manufacturing the fore-optics 12, the beam shearing system 14 and the Fourier optical system 16 according to 5 the prescriptions contained in TABLES 15 - 1E and 2, results in the static interferometer achieving a spectral resolution of 1.2cm over the spectral bandpass of the material used to construct the prism structure 50. If the length of the entrance slit 18 is chosen to be 12.8 mm, then the static interferemeter 10 will have a 3.8° field of view (FOV).

An alternative method of manufacturing the Fourier optical system 16' to achieve a broadband, high spectral resolution, off-axis point spectrometer is shown in FIGUPE 15. It consists of four off-axis aspheres, 180', 182', 184' and 186', which generate two very high quality collimated beams. These beams generate a $0.5~{\rm cm}^{-1}$, single-sided interferogram across a 25mm detector array. 20

FIGURE 16 shows a variation on this alternative method of manufacturing the Fourier optical system 10". This variation uses the same four off-axis aspheres, 180'', 182", 184" and 186", but uses a fold mitror 200 and a 25 cylindrical mirror 202 to compress the collimated beam to $1\,mm$ in the X direction to improve the signal effeciency and reduce the width of the detector array 18. A cylindrical mirror 202 is preferable to a refractive cylindrical lens

because the rays of the collimated beams passing through the thicker part of a lens would be shifted in the Y direction with respect to the rays passing through the thinner part of that lens. An optical prescription for this 5 Fourier optical system 16'' is contained in TABLE 2.

TABLE 3. ZEMAX PRESCRIPTION: 0.5 cm⁻¹ Point Spectrometer

Surface		MAX PRESC	Radius	Thickness	Coeff on r 4	Coeff on r 6
Surface	Турс		mm	nını		
Object			infinity	infinity		
STOP	standrd	ent pupil		100		
2	paraxial	telescope	100	100		
3	apert	slit		3		
 4	KBr	prism		93		
- 5	coord	tilt X			-45°	
6	mirror	C2				
	coord	tilt X		-15	-45°	
8	standrd	prism exit				
9	coord	decenter Y			-27.75 mm	
10	coord	tilt Y		-138.7318	-4.6515°	
11	coord	decenter X			±13.5551 mm	-4.1844e-14
12	mirror	M1 asphere	146.0153	83.9595	1.5855e-9	-8.3999e-10
13	mirror	M2 asphere	109.167	-95.2057	4.1951e-7	-8.3999e-10 -1.0609e-13
14	mirror	M3 asphere	235.8198		4.1801e-8	2.7327e-12
15	mirror	M4 asphere	-295	-120.4057	4.3190e-8	4.6515°
16	coord	dec X tilt Y			12.1 mm	4.0313
17	coord	tilt Y			20°	
18	mirror	fold mirror				
19	coord	tilt Y		26.12	20°	
20	coord				-20°	
21	mirror	X toroid	-56			
22	coord			-26	-20°	
Imag	e	focal plane	infinity			

39260/FAG/C766

NOTE 1: Spectrometer can achieve $0.5~{\rm cm}^{-1}$. Pupil width is 5] mm, X,Y-Fields are +/- 0.45° and wavelength is 13 $\mu m.$ Design is valid between 5 and 20 μm with MBr or 5 and 50 μm with CsI. Tangential width of stop is 25 mm; sagittal 5 width is 12.5 mm. Stop is shifted along tangential plane by - 9.3 mm. A paraxial lens is used to simulate the telescope. Shifts in the \pm X direction simulate the prism. Cylindrical mirror compresses beam without adversely affecting interferogram. Detector array is 1 \times 25 mm

10

20

NOTE 2: Decenters and tilts are listed in the column. with the heading "Coeff. on r4".

An alternative method of manufacturing the Fourier optical system 16''' to achieve a large FOV, on-axis refractive imaging spectrometer is shown in FIGURES 17 and 18. This configuration is appropriate where the spectral bandwidth requirements are not too broad. The imaging focal length can be made significantly shorter than the interferogram focal length of the Fourier optical system 16 $^{\prime\prime\prime}$ to increase the FCY. The design, shown in FIGURES 17 and 18, uses three aspheric Ge lenses 210, 212 and 214 to collimate the beams emerging from the beam shearing system 30,32 to form a pupil plane 34 in one axis and to form an image plane 36 in the other axis. An optical prescription for this Fourier optical system 16"" is contained in TABLE 4.

TABLE 4. ZEMAX PRESCRIPTION: 1.2 cm⁻¹ On-Axis Imaging Spectrometer

Surface	Type	Name	Radius	Thickness	Coeff on r 4	Coeff on r 6	Coeff on r 8
			mm	mm			
Object			infinity	infinity			
STOP	standrd	ent pupil		64			
2	paraxial	Telescope	64	64			
3	apert	Slit		3			
4	KBr	Prism		40.158			
5	coord	tilt X			-45°		
6	mirror	C2					
	coord	tilt X		-6.842	-45°		
8	standrd	prism exit		21.2106			4 10045 12
9	Ge	L1 asphere	292.1871	3	-3.1404e-7	-4.477e-11	-4.1884e-13
10		Y toroid	-285.5098	14.5723			
11	Ge	L2 standard	67.2090	2.35			-3.6181e-11
12	-	asphere	-60.0	38	-1.0316e-7	1.76843e-11	-3.01816-11
18	Ge	L3 window	infinity	1.5			
21		Y toroid	-63.6822	15	-1.1044e-5	1.78802e-7	
Image		focal plane	infinity			- 20 (Coeff on r 8
Surface	Type	Name	Radius	Thickness	S Coeff on r	4 Coeff on r 6	Coen on 18
			mm	mm			
Object			infinity	infinity			
STOP	standrd	ent pupil		64			
2	paraxial	telescope	64	64			
3	apert	slit		3			
4	KBr	prism		40.158			
5	coord	tilt X			-45°		
6	mirror	C2					
7	coord	tilt X		-6.842	-45°		
8	standrd	prism exit		21.2106		7 -4.477e-11	-4.1884e-1
9	Ge	L1 aspher	e 292.1871		-3.1404e-	/ -4.4//e-11	-4,100-101
10	-	Y toroid	-285.5098	8 14.5723			

				Thickness	Coeff on r 4	Coeff on r 6	Coeff on r 8
Surface	Type	Name	Radius	THICKIESS	Coen on		
			mm	mm			
 	Ge	L2 standard	67 2090	2.35			2 (101, 11
12		asphere	-60.0	38	-1.0316e-7	1.76843e-11	-3.6181e-11
 18	Ge	L3 window	infinity	1.5			
		Y toroid	-63.6822	15	-1.1044e-5	1.78802e-7	
21 Image		focal plane	infinity				

NOTE 1: Pupil width is 16 mm, Y-Fields are \pm 12° and variety and is 13 μ m. Lesign is valid between 5 and 16 μ m. Width of stop in X direction is 16 mm. Width in Y direction is 12.8 mm. Stop can be shifted along tangential plane to generate single-sided interferogram and achieve 1.2 cm⁻¹ spectral resolution. A paraxial lens is used to simulate the telescope. Coordinate break shifts in \pm X simulate the prism. Detector array is 12.8 x 16 mm.

10 NOTE 2: In this design the pupil plane is in the K direction and the image plane is in the Y direction. This enabled "Y" toroids to be used to generate the image, and "Y" toroids do not require coordinate brakes to rotate them about the Z axis.

NOTE 3: Tilts are listed in the column with the heading "Coeff. on r4".

Closer inspection of FIGURE 2 illustrates that a 2 dimensional detector array is located at the pupil 34 and image 36 planes. This array makes measurements of light entensity in both the pupil and image planes. Large format AlGaAs Quantum Well Infrared Photoconductor (QWIP) arrays

39160/FAG/3766

20

are the mist appropriate detectors because their pixel to pixel responsivity is uniform. AlGaAs QWIP arrays can be fabricated with an operability greater than 39.93%, an uncalibrated uniformity better than 2% and a calibrated uniformity better than 2% and a calibrated uniformity better than 0.7%. They can also be thermally cycled indefinitely, are radiation hand and remain stable after calibration.

AlgaAs DWIF detector arrays are not the only type of detector that can be used. Other examples of detector arrays that could be utilized by the static interferometer to include InSb, HgCdTe, microbolometers, thermopiles, CCDs and active pixel sensor (AFS) arrays.

The processor unit 20 analyzes the data recorded by the detector array 18. This unit digitizes the data, stores it, can analyze it in a number of ways, including the two following methods and can output any results. The data recorded by the detector array 18 corresponds to a series of interferograms. The first possible method of analyzing this data is to perform a digital fast fourier transform (FFT to convert each interferogram into a spectrum, which can then be further analyzed. An example would be where the spectrum is analyzed to determine the overall chemical composition of the scene being viewed.

An alternative method of analyzing the data is to use the interferograms as matched filters to detect distinct features within the interferograms. An example of this would be to detect the presence of a single chemical in the scene being viewed. This process involves using a filter

39265/FAG/C766

10

shape that indicates the presence or absence of that feature, when the filter is convolved with interferogram. An advantage of using this second technique is that it is less computationally intensive than 5 performing numerous FFTs. Another advantage is that the interferogram automatically separates broadband features, such as emisssions from a planet's surface from narrowband features, such as are created by constituent gases in the planet's atmosphere.

At shorter wavelengths it may be necessary to use heterodyning at the detector array. Heterodyning is appropriate if a full cycle of the interferogram 220 of FIGURE 19 is located inside one pixel 200 of the detector array 18. When this occurs, the constructive 224 and 15 destructive 226 half cycles of the interferogram will cancel each other out and the detector 1: will detect zero light intensity for that pixel 222. This phenomenon can be avoided by using a blocking filter 200. This filter discards the destructive portion of the interferogram 226, ensuring that enly the constructive portion of the interferogram 224 is incident on the pixel of the detector 20 array 222. In a broadband system, the blocking filter must be manufactured so that its pattern has a frequency that is equal to or lower than the lowest frequency in the predetermined spectral passband. The detector will then detect that light to be frequency zero and light with higher frequency will be detected as having a frequency equal to the actual frequency of the detected radiation minus the frequency of the radiation of lowest frequency.

5

In this way a spectrum is able to be constructed from the interferogram 220, using a detector 13 that would not have enough pixels to measure the intensity of the interferogram without the use of a blocking filter.

While the preferred embodiment has been described and illustrated, various substitutions and modifications may be made thereto without departing from the scope of the invention. Accordingly, it is to be understood that the present invention has been described by way of illustration and not limitation.